

CAS 701 Fall 2008

## 02 Proposition Logic

William M. Farmer

Department of Computing and Software  
McMaster University

10 September 2008



# What is Propositional Logic?

- Propositional logic is the study of the truth or falsehood of propositions or sentences constructed using truth-functional connectives.
  - ▶ Also called sentential logic.
  - ▶ Began with the work of the Stoic philosophers, particularly Chrysippus, in the late 3rd century BCE.
- Most other logics are extensions of propositional logic.
- Main applications:
  - ▶ Logical arguments.
  - ▶ Logical circuits (e.g., electronic circuits).

# Syntax

- A **language** of proposition logic is a pair  $L = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  where:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of constants called **propositional symbols** or **propositional letters**.
  - ▶  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of 0-ary, unary, and binary constructors called **propositional connectives**.
- A **formula** of  $L$  is a string of symbols inductively defined by the following formation rules:
  1. Each  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a formula of  $L$ .
  2. If  $c_0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  are 0-ary, unary, and binary, respectively, and  $A_1, A_2$  are formulas of  $L$ , then  $c_0$ ,  $(c_1 A_1)$ , and  $(A_1 c_2 A_2)$  are formulas of  $L$ .
- Common propositional connectives:  $\top, \perp$  (0-ary);  
 $\neg$  (unary);  $\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow, \mid$  (binary).

# An Example Language

- Let  $L_0 = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  be the propositional language where:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots\}.$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\neg, \Rightarrow\}.$$

- The following abbreviations are employed:

$$T \quad \text{denotes} \quad (p_0 \Rightarrow p_0).$$

$$F \quad \text{denotes} \quad (\neg T).$$

$$(A \vee B) \quad \text{denotes} \quad ((\neg A) \Rightarrow B).$$

$$(A \wedge B) \quad \text{denotes} \quad (\neg((\neg A) \vee (\neg B))).$$

$$(A \Leftrightarrow B) \quad \text{denotes} \quad ((A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow A)).$$

$$(A \mid B) \quad \text{denotes} \quad (\neg(A \wedge B)).$$

# Meaning of Propositional Connectives

- Each  $n$ -ary propositional connective denotes an assigned  $n$ -ary truth function.
- Examples:

	T	$p$	$(\neg p)$		$p$	$q$	$(p \wedge q)$		$p$	$q$	$(p \vee q)$
T		T	F		T	T	T		T	T	T
F		F	T		T	F	F		T	F	T
					F	T	F		F	T	T
F					F	F	F		F	F	F

$p$	$q$	$(p \Rightarrow q)$	$p$	$q$	$(p \Leftrightarrow q)$	$p$	$q$	$(p \mid q)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	T	F	F	T

# Complete Sets of Propositional Connectives

- A set  $\mathcal{C}$  of proposition connectives is **complete** if every truth function can be represented by a formula using only members of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- Examples of complete sets of propositional connectives:
  - ▶  $\{\neg, \Rightarrow\}$ .
  - ▶  $\{\neg, \wedge\}$ .
  - ▶  $\{\neg, \vee\}$ .
  - ▶  $\{| \}$ .

# Semantics

- Let  $L = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  be a language of propositional logic, and for each  $c \in \mathcal{B}$ , let  $f_c$  be its assigned truth function.
- A **model** for  $L$  is an (interpretation) function  $I$  that assigns a truth value in  $\{\text{T}, \text{F}\}$  to each  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- The **valuation function** for  $I$  is the function  $V$  that maps formulas of  $L$  to  $\{\text{T}, \text{F}\}$  and satisfies the following conditions:
  1. If  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $V(p) = I(p)$ .
  2. If  $c \in \mathcal{B}$  is 0-ary, then  $V(c) = f_c$ .
  3. If  $c \in \mathcal{B}$  is unary and  $A$  is a formula of  $L$ , then  $V((c A)) = f_c(V(A))$ .
  4. If  $c \in \mathcal{B}$  is binary and  $A, B$  are formulas of  $L$ , then  $V((A c B)) = f_c(V(A), V(B))$ .

# Truth Tables

- Truth tables can be used to analyze the meaning of propositional formulas.
- Example (Rule of Contraposition):

$p$	$q$	$((p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg q) \Rightarrow (\neg p)))$					
T	T	T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T

- A propositional formula  $A$  is a **tautology** and is **valid** if all of the final entries in the truth table for  $A$  are T.
- A propositional formula  $A$  is **satisfiable** if some of the final entries in the truth table for  $A$  are T.
- The validity of propositional formulas can be decided with truth tables—hence **propositional logic is decidable!**

# Laws of Propositional Logic

- The laws of propositional logic are fundamental laws of most other common logics.
- Examples:
  - ▶ Law of Double Negation.
  - ▶ Law of Excluded Middle.
  - ▶ Law of Contraposition.
  - ▶ De Morgan's Laws.
  - ▶ Associative, commutative, and distributive laws.
  - ▶ Idempotent, identity, domination, and absorption laws.

# A Hilbert-Style Proof System

Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the following Hilbert-style proof system for  $L_0$ :

- The **logical axioms** of  $\mathbf{H}$  are all formulas of  $L_0$  that are instances of the following three schemas:

$$\mathbf{A1}: (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)).$$

$$\mathbf{A2}: ((A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)) \Rightarrow ((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C))).$$

$$\mathbf{A3}: ((\neg A \Rightarrow \neg B) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)).$$

- The single **rule of inference** of  $\mathbf{H}$  is **modus ponens**:

**MP**: From  $A$  and  $(A \Rightarrow B)$ , infer  $B$ .

# Metatheorems of Propositional Logic

- Deduction Theorem.  $\Sigma \cup \{A\} \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} B$  implies  $\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} A \Rightarrow B$ .
- Soundness Theorem.  $\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} A$  implies  $\Sigma \models A$ .
- Completeness Theorem.  $\Sigma \models A$  implies  $\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} A$ .
- Soundness and Completeness Theorem (second form).  
 $\Sigma$  is consistent in  $\mathbf{H}$  iff  $\Sigma$  is satisfiable.
- Compactness Theorem. If  $\Sigma$  is finitely satisfiable, then  $\Sigma$  is satisfiable.