

Putting Theories Together to Make Specification

Bingzhou Zheng

March 31, 2010

Why are we interested in **theories**?

- ▶ We need a theory to specify a problem before we can develop a program to solve it.
- ▶ We need a theory to represent knowledge.

Why are we interested in **structured descriptions of theories**?

- ▶ We find theories very hard to understand unless they have a well-structured description.
- ▶ We attempt to represent knowledge as collections of separate simple fragments.

Theory

The paper of Burstall and Goguen (1977) makes theories many-sorted, and with provision for errors.

A many-sorted theory is given by:

- ▶ a set of sorts (including the sort of truth value)
- ▶ a set of operators (including **true** and **false**)
- ▶ a set of laws which the operators above must satisfy

Note:

- ▶ The laws take the form of equations with free variables but no quantifiers, which are implicitly universally quantified.
- ▶ The equations are closed under inference by reflexivity, transitivity and symmetry of equality, and by substitution.

Language “Clear”

In Burstall and Goguen' paper (1977), they proposed the language “**Clear**” as a tool

- ▶ to describe program specification
- ▶ to serve to represent knowledge in a machine manipulable form.

The language Clear uses the following theory-building operations and mechanism to build theories.

Theory-Building Operations

To build a structured theory, we must build our theories up from small intelligible pieces:

- ▶ the ability to write small and explicit theories, like
 - theory sorts ...
 - opns ...
 - eqns ... endth
- ▶ four operations on theories enable us to build up theory expressions denoting complex theories
 - ▶ combine
 - ▶ enrich
 - ▶ induce
 - ▶ derive

Four Operations / Combine

Nat0

```
theory sorts nat
  opns  0 :→ nat
        succ : nat → nat
  eqns  endth
```

Bool0

```
theory sorts bool
  opns  true :→ bool
        false :→ bool
        ¬ : bool → bool
        ∧ : bool → bool
  vars  p : bool
  eqns  ¬true = false
        ¬false = true
        false ∧ p = false
        true ∧ p = p  endth
```

Four Operations / Combine Cont.

$+$ is used as the combine operation

```
Bool0 + Nat0
theory sorts bool,nat
  ops   true :→ bool
        false :→ bool
         $\neg$  : bool → bool
         $\wedge$  : bool → bool
        0 :→ nat
        succ : nat → nat
  vars  p : bool
  eqns  $\neg \text{true} = \text{false}$ 
         $\neg \text{false} = \text{true}$ 
         $\text{false} \wedge p = \text{false}$ 
         $\text{true} \wedge p = p$ 
  endth
```

Four Operations / Enrich

The whole express below expression denotes the new enriched theory.

Nat1

enrich Bool0 + Nat0 by

opns \leq : *nat, nat* \rightarrow *bool*

eq : *nat, nat* \rightarrow *bool*

vars m, n : *nat*

eqns $0 \leq n = true$

$succ(m) \leq 0 = false$

$succ(m) \leq succ(n) = m \leq n$

$eq(m, n) = m \leq n \wedge n \leq m$

endth

Four Operations / Induce

Nat

induce enrich Nat0 + Bool by

opns $\leq : nat, nat \rightarrow bool$

$eq : nat, nat \rightarrow bool$

vars $m, n : nat$

eqns $0 \leq n = true$

$succ(m) \leq 0 = false$

$succ(m) \leq succ(n) = m \leq n$

$eq(m, n) = m \leq n \wedge n \leq m$

endth

Induce enable theory to extend the equations of a theory

- ▶ an equation holds for a variable n , if it holds for every equation obtained by substituting a variable-free term
- ▶ to find equations holding in a theory created by induce, we may prove by induction on the structure of terms

Four Operations / Derive

```
Nat
derive
    sort  element , bool
    opns  equal , true , false
from Nat1 by
    eqns  element is nat
          bool is bool
          equal is eq
          true is true
          false is false
endth
```


Theory Constant / Local Theory Definition

```
const Nat =
  induce let Nat0 =
    theory sorts nat
      opns 0 : nat
            succ : nat → nat
    endth
  in enrich Nat0 + Bool by
    opns ≤ : nat, nat → bool
          eq : nat, nat → bool
    vars m, n : nat
    eqns 0 ≤ n = true
          succ(m) ≤ 0 = false
          succ(m) ≤ succ(n) = m ≤ n
          eq(m, n) = m ≤ n ∧ n ≤ m
  endth
```

Theory Procedure

Procedures can only accept a certain sort of theory as parameter.

We use “meta-sort” as the formal parameter of a procedure. The meta-sort is itself a theory, which denotes theories with a certain property.

The actual parameter theory must include all the equations of the meta-sort theory as rewritten under this operator to operator function

Example:

Suppose we have some partially ordered set, and we can form strings from its elements. Now we can develop a theory of ordered strings for any partially ordered set. The partially ordered set can be regarded as a theory parameter. The meta-sort should be a theory of partial orderings.

Theory Procedure Cont.

We can form strings from the elements of any set, so here the meta-sort of string procedure is trivial.

```
const Triv = theory sorts element endth

proc Strings (X: Triv)=
    induce enrich X by
        sorts string
        opns  unit : element → string
            λ : → string
            • : string, string → string
        vars  s, t, u : string
        eqns  λ • s = s
            s • λ = s
            (s • t) • u = s • (t • u)
    endth
```

Theory Procedure Cont.

When we apply Strings procedure to Nat to get strings of natural number, we need to associate the sorts and operators of the meta-sort (Triv) of the formal parameter with those of the actual parameter (Nat).

We need a sort to sort function and an operator to operator function just as in derive.

```
strings ( Nat[element is nat] )
```


Theory Procedure Cont.

```
proc OrderedStrings (P: Poset)=
  induce enrich Strings(P) by
    opns  ordered : string → bool
    vars  x, y : element
          s, t, u : string
    eqns  ordered(λ) = true
          ordered(unit(x)) = true
          ordered(unit(x), unit(y)) =
          x ≤ y
          ordered(s . t . u) =
          ordered(s.t) ∧ ordered(t.u)
  endth
```

OrderedStrings

(Nat [element is nat, \leq is \leq , eq is eq])

PUTTING THEORIES TOGETHER TO MAKE
SPECIFICATION, R.M.Burstall and J.A.Goguen (1977)

THE SEMANTICS OF CLEAR, A SPECIFICATION
LANGUAGE, R.M.Burstall and J.A.Goguen (1979)

Questions?