

## 02. The Axiomatic Method

Instructor: W. M. Farmer

Revised: 18 January 2001

1

### History

- Euclid (325–265 BC) used the axiomatic method to present the mathematics known in his time in the **Elements**
  - The axioms were considered truths
- The development of **noneuclidean geometry** by Bolyai, Gauss, and Lobachevskii (early 1800s) showed that axioms may be considered as just assumptions
- Whitehead and Russell formalized a major portion of mathematics in the **Principia Mathematica** (1910–1913)
- Bourbaki (mid 1900s) used the axiomatic method to codify mathematics in the 30 volume **Éléments de mathématique**
- Several libraries of formalized mathematics have been developed since the late 1980s using interactive theorem provers: HOL, IMPS, Isabelle, Mizar, Nqthm, Nuprl, PVS

3

### What is the Axiomatic Method?

1. A mathematical model is expressed as a set of axioms (in a language) called an **axiomatic theory**
2. New concepts are introduced by making **definitions**
3. Assertions about the model are stated as **theorems** and proved from the axioms

Notes:

- The axiomatic method is a method of **presentation**, not a method of **discovery** (Lakatos)
- The axiomatic method can be used as a method of **organization**

2

### Axiomatic Theories

- **Theory** = formal language + set of axioms
- **Language**: vocabulary for objects and their properties
  - Has a precise semantics (with a notion of logical consequence)
  - Can be used to describe multiple situations
  - The language usually belongs to a **logic**
- **Axioms**: assumptions about the objects and properties
  - Specify a class of **models**
  - Basis for proving **theorems**

4

### Example: Theory of Partial Order

- Language: A first-order logic language with a 2-place predicate symbol  $\leq$ 
  - $a \leq b$  is intended to mean  $a$  is less than or equal to  $b$
- Axioms:
  - **Reflexivity.**  $\forall x. x \leq x$
  - **Transitivity.**  $\forall x, y, z. (x \leq y \wedge y \leq z) \supset x \leq z$
  - **Antisymmetry.**  $\forall x, y. (x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \supset x = y$
- The theory has infinitely many nonisomorphic models

5

### Benefits of Axiomatic Theories

- **Conceptual clarity:** inessential details are omitted
- **Generality:** theorems hold in all models
- **Dual purpose:** a theory can be viewed as:
  - An abstract mathematical model
  - A specification of a collection of mathematical models

7

### Example: Peano Arithmetic

- Language: A second-order logic language with a constant symbol 0 and 1-place function symbol  $S$ 
  - 0 is intended to represent the number zero
  - $S$  is intended to represent the successor function, i.e.,  $S(a)$  means  $a + 1$
- Axioms:
  - 0 has no predecessor.  $\forall x. \neg(0 = S(x))$
  - **S is injective.**  $\forall x, y. S(x) = S(y) \supset x = y$
  - **Induction principle.**  
 $\forall P. (P(0) \wedge \forall x. P(x) \supset P(S(x))) \supset \forall x. P(x)$
- Second-order Peano arithmetic is **categorical**, i.e, it has exactly one model up to isomorphism

6

### Theory Interpretations

- A **translation**  $\Phi$  from  $T$  to  $T'$  is a function that maps the primitive symbols of  $T$  to expressions of  $T'$  satisfying certain syntactic conditions
- $\Phi$  determines:
  - A mapping of expressions of  $T$  to expressions of  $T'$
  - Set of sentences called **obligations**
- $\Phi$  is an **interpretation** if it maps the theorems of  $T$  to theorems of  $T'$ 
  - Sufficient condition: the obligations of  $\Phi$  are theorems of  $T'$
- **Interpretations are information conduits!**

8

Example: Theory of Computer Networks

- Theory name: Networks
- Language: Many-sorted first-order logic language with the following sorts and function symbols:

Sorts	Function symbols
boxes	box-of-interface
wires	wire-of-interface
interfaces	address-of-interface
addresses	
- Example axioms:
  - “Every box has a unique loopback interface”
  - “The address of a loopback interface is 127.0.0.1”

9

Example: Bipartite Graphs to Networks

- Let  $\Phi_{BG \rightarrow N}$  be the translation from Bipartite Graphs to Networks defined by:

red-nodes	$\mapsto$ boxes
blue-nodes	$\mapsto$ wires
edges	$\mapsto$ interfaces
red-node-of-edge	$\mapsto$ box-of-interface
blue-node-of-edge	$\mapsto$ wire-of-interface
- $\Phi_{BG \rightarrow N}$  has no obligations
- $\Phi_{BG \rightarrow N}$  is an interpretation
  - “Transitivity of red-to-red connectivity” maps to “transitivity of box-to-box connectivity”

11

Example: Theory of Bipartite Graphs

- Theory name: Bipartite Graphs
- Language: Many-sorted first-order logic language with the following sorts and function symbols:

Sorts	Operators
red-nodes	red-node-of-edge
blue-nodes	blue-node-of-edge
edges	
- No explicit axioms

10

Example: Symmetry Interpretation

- Let  $\Phi_{BG \rightarrow BG}$  be the translation from Bipartite Graphs to Bipartite Graphs defined by:

red-nodes	$\mapsto$ blue-nodes
blue-nodes	$\mapsto$ red-nodes
edges	$\mapsto$ edges
red-node-of-edge	$\mapsto$ blue-node-of-edge
blue-node-of-edge	$\mapsto$ red-node-of-edge
- $\Phi_{BG \rightarrow BG}$  has no obligations:
- $\Phi_{BG \rightarrow BG}$  is an interpretation
  - “Transitivity of red to red connectivity” maps to “transitivity of blue to blue connectivity”

12

## Two Versions of the Axiomatic Method

1. **Big Theory:** A body of mathematics is entirely represented in one theory
  - Often a powerful, highly expressive theory like set theory is selected
  - All reasoning is performed within this single theory
2. **Little Theories:** A body of mathematics is represented as a network of theories
  - Bigger theories are composed of smaller theories
  - Theories are linked by interpretations
  - Reasoning is distributed over the network

13

## Benefits of Little Theories

- Mathematics can be developed using the most appropriate vocabulary at the most appropriate level of abstraction
- Emphasizes reuse: if  $A$  is a theorem of  $T$ , then  $A$  may be reused in any “instance” of  $T$
- Enables perspective switching
- Enables parallel development
- Inconsistency can be isolated: there are no interpretations of an inconsistent theory in a consistent theory, so inconsistency cannot spread from one theory to another

14