

Language Syntax

- A language defines a collection of **expressions** formed from:
 - **Variables**
 - **Constants** (nonlogical constants)
 - **Constructors** (logical constants)

03. Review of Logic

Instructor: W. M. Farmer

Revised: 3 January 2002

- Two kinds of expressions:
 - **Terms**: Denote objects or values
 - **Formulas**: Make assertions about objects or values
- Some languages have constructors that bind variables (e.g., \forall , \exists , λ , I , ϵ , $\{ \mid \}$)

1

3

What is a Logic?

- Informally, a logic is a system of reasoning
- Formally, a **logic** is a family of **formal languages** with:
 1. A common syntax
 2. A common semantics
 3. A notion of **logical consequence**
- A logic may include a **formal system** for **proving** that a given formula is a logical consequence of a given set of formulas
- Examples:
 - Propositional logic
 - First-order logic
 - Simple type theory (higher-order logic)

Language Semantics

- A **model** M for a language L is a pair (D, V) where:
 1. D is a set of values called the **domain** that includes the truth values true and false
 2. V is a function from the expressions of L to D called the **valuation function**
- M **satisfies** a formula φ of L , written $M \models \varphi$, if $V(\varphi) = \text{true}$
- M **satisfies** a set Σ of formulas of L , written $M \models \Sigma$, if M satisfies each $\varphi \in \Sigma$
- Σ is **satisfiable** if there exists some model for L that satisfies Σ
- φ is **valid**, written $\models \varphi$, if every model for L satisfies φ
- φ is a **logical consequence** of Σ , written $\Sigma \models \varphi$, if every model for L that satisfies Σ also satisfies φ

2

4

Hilbert-Style Formal System

- A **Hilbert-style formal system H** for a language L consists of:
 1. A set of formulas of L called **logical axioms**
 2. A set of **rules of inference**
- A **proof** of φ from Σ in H is a finite sequence ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n of formulas of L with $\psi_n = \varphi$ such that each ψ_i is either a logical axiom, a member of Σ , or follows from earlier ψ_j by one of the rules of inference
- φ is **provable** from Σ in H , written $\Sigma \vdash_H \varphi$, if there is a proof of φ from Σ in H
- φ is a **theorem** in H , written $\vdash_H \varphi$, if φ is provable from \emptyset in H
 - **Corollary:** Σ is satisfiable iff Σ is consistent in H
- Σ is **consistent** in H if not every formula is provable from Σ in H

5

7

Kinds of Formal Systems

- Hilbert style
- Symmetric sequent (Gentzen)
- Asymmetric sequent
- Natural deduction (Quine, Fitch, Berry)
- Semantic tableau (Beth, Hintikka)
- Resolution (J. Robinson)

Soundness and Completeness

- Let F be a formal system for a language L
 - F is **sound** if $\Sigma \vdash_F \varphi$ implies $\Sigma \models \varphi$
 - F is **complete** if $\Sigma \models \varphi$ implies $\Sigma \vdash_F \varphi$
- **Gödel's Completeness Theorem:** There is a sound and complete formal system F for first-order logic
 - **Corollary:** Σ is satisfiable iff Σ is consistent in F

Theories

- A **theory** is a pair $T = (L, \Gamma)$ where:
 1. L is a language ('the **language** of T)
 2. Γ is a set of formulas of L ('the **axioms** of T)
- M is a **model** of T , written $M \models T$, if $M \models \Gamma$
- φ is a **valid** in T , written $T \models \varphi$, if $\Gamma \models \varphi$
- φ is a **theorem** of T in F , written $T \vdash_F \varphi$, if $\Gamma \vdash_F \varphi$
- T is **satisfiable** if Γ is satisfiable
- T is **consistent** in F if Γ is consistent in F

8

6

Complete Theories

- Three possibilities:
 1. φ is valid in T
 2. $\neg\varphi$ is valid in T
 3. Neither φ nor $\neg\varphi$ is valid in T
 - Hence, some model of T satisfies φ
 - Hence, some model of T satisfies $\neg\varphi$
- A theory $T = (L, \Gamma)$ is **complete** if, for all formulas φ of L , $T \models \varphi$ or $T \models \neg\varphi$
- Notice that an unsatisfiable theory is always complete

- **Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem:** Let $T = (L, \Gamma)$ be a satisfiable theory such that Γ is a recursive set. If T is sufficiently “rich”, then T is incomplete.

9

Semantics vs. Syntax

Semantics	Syntax
φ is valid	φ is a theorem in F
$\models \varphi$	$\vdash_F \varphi$
φ is valid in T	φ is a theorem of T in F
$T \models \varphi$	$T \vdash_F \varphi$
T is satisfiable	T is consistent in F

- The problem whether or not $T \models \varphi$ is true can be solved by either:

1. **Proof:** Showing $T \vdash_F \varphi$ for some sound formal system F or
2. **Counterexample:** Showing $M \models \neg\varphi$ for some model M of T

- By Gödel's Completeness Theorem, the semantic and syntactic notions for first-order logic are equivalent

Theory Extensions

- Let $T = (L, \Gamma)$ and $T' = (L', \Gamma')$ be theories
- T' is an **extension** of T , written $T \leq T'$, if:
 1. $L \leq L'$ (L' is an extension of L)
 2. $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$
- T' is a **conservative extension** of T , written $T \trianglelefteq T'$, if:
 1. $T \leq T'$
 2. For all formulas φ of L , if $T' \models \varphi$, then $T \models \varphi$.

11

Theories as Logics

- A logic is identified by its set of theories
- A theory T can be viewed as the logic that is identified by the set of extensions of T
- Examples of theories that are often used as logics:
 - Peano arithmetic
 - Real arithmetic (theory of complete ordered fields)
 - Theory of real closed fields
 - Zermelo-Fraenkel (ZF) set theory
 - Von-Neumann-Bernays-Gödel (NBG) set theory

12