

SE 2AA4 Winter 2007

# 06 Specification

William M. Farmer

Department of Computing and Software  
McMaster University

27 March 2007



# Descriptions of Engineering Products

- A **description** of a product is a **model** of the product .
  - ▶ Should include only certain key aspects of the product.
  - ▶ Should be easier to understand than the product itself.
- Mathematics is used to make descriptions precise.
- A variety of descriptions, instead of a single description, is used to efficiently describe the different aspects of a product.
  - ▶ There is never a complete description of a product.

# Specifications

- A **specification** describes the attributes **required** of a product.
- A product **satisfies** a specification if it possesses the attributes described by the specification.
  - ▶ The product is **acceptable** iff it satisfies specification.
- A specification serves several purposes:
  1. An agreement between client and developer, designer and implementer, etc.
  2. Blueprint for developing the product.
  3. Basis for verifying the correctness of the product.
  4. High-level description of the product.

# Actual Descriptions

- An (actual) description describes the actual attributes of a product.
- A constructive description describes how the product is constructed from other products.
  - ▶ A program's code is a constructive description.
- A behavioral description describes how the product works.
  - ▶ Blackbox: Describes the external (visible) behavior.
  - ▶ Whitebox: Describes the internal (invisible) behavior.

# Specification vs. Description

- Both specifications and descriptions describe attributes, but they are different in intent:
  - ▶ A specification describes the attributes that the product **is required to have**.
  - ▶ A description describes the attributes that the product **actually has**.
- The same descriptive item may be interpreted as either a specification or a description.
- Specifications are often interpreted as **abstract descriptions**.
- Descriptions are often interpreted as **concrete specifications**.

# Uses of Specifications

- Statement of the **requirements** of a product.
  - ▶ Requirements specification.
  - ▶ Design specification.
  - ▶ User's requirements specification.
- Statement of the **interface** between components.
  - ▶ External environment specification.
  - ▶ Communication protocols.
  - ▶ Module interface specifications.
- **Reference point** for verification and maintenance.

# Refinement

- Let  $S$  and  $S'$  be specifications.
- $S'$  is a **refinement** of  $S$  if every product that satisfies  $S'$  also satisfies  $S$ .
- The **refinement method** is a powerful design method in which a specification  $S_0$  is incrementally refined to a specification  $S_n$  of a product that is readily implementable.

# Procedure Specification Methods

1. Input/output specification.
2. Before/after specification.
  - ▶ Input/output specification is a special case.
3. Trace specification.
4. Pre- and postcondition specification.

Note: Specifications methods 1–3 view procedures as certain kinds of functions.



# Review of Functions

- $f : A \rightarrow B$  means  $f$  is a function that maps members of  $A$  to members of  $B$ .
- $f$  can be viewed as a **set of ordered pairs**:
$$\{(x, y) : A \times B \mid y = f(x)\} \subseteq A \times B.$$
- $f$  may not be defined for all members of  $A$ .
  - ▶ The **domain** of  $f$  is the set  $\text{dom}(f) = \{x \in A \mid f(x) \downarrow\}$ .
  - ▶  $f$  is **total** if  $\text{dom}(f) = A$ .
  - ▶  $f$  is **partial** if  $\text{dom}(f) \subset A$ .
- The function can be specified in various ways:
  - ▶ **Definitional specification**:  $f = E$ .
  - ▶ **Relational specification**:  $(R, D)$ .
  - ▶ **Axiomatic specification**:  $A(f)$ .

# Partiality in Software Specifications

Specifications can be partial in two ways:

1. A specification may **not fully specify** an object or operation.
  - ▶ What is not specified is considered to be implicitly specified as “don’t care” and can thus be freely implemented.
2. A specification may state that the application of an operation in certain states or on certain inputs is **undefined** or **illegal**.
  - ▶ An undefined application is implemented by an **exception**.

# Input/output Specifications

- Let  $I$  be a set of possible inputs, and  $O$  be a set of possible outputs.
- A procedure **without side-effects** can be viewed as a function  $f : I \rightarrow O$  that maps inputs to outputs.

# Definitional Specification

- A **definition** specifies a unique object.
- So a definition of a function specifies a unique function:
  - ▶ Syntax:  $f = E$  where  $E$  is an expression.
  - ▶ Semantics:  $f$  is the unique function denoted by  $E$ .

- **Example 1:** Integer square function  $f : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .

$$f = \lambda x : \mathbf{Z} . x * x \quad (\text{or } f(x) = x * x).$$

- **Example 2:** Integer square root function  $g : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .

$$g = \lambda x : \mathbf{Z} . \text{I } y : \mathbf{Z} . 0 \leq y \wedge y * y = x.$$

Notice that  $g$  is partial.

# Relational Specification

- A **relational specification** is a pair  $(R, D)$  where:
  1.  $R \subseteq I \times O$ .
  2.  $D \subseteq \text{dom}(R) = \{x : I \mid \exists y : O . R(x, y)\} \subseteq I$ .
- $f : I \rightarrow O$  **satisfies**  $(R, D)$  if:
  1.  $\forall x : I . x \in \text{dom}(f) \Rightarrow R(x, f(x))$ .
  2.  $D \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$ .
- **Example 1:** Integer square function  $f : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .
$$R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \mid y = x * x\}.$$
$$D = \mathbf{Z}.$$
- **Example 2:** Integer square root function  $g : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .
$$R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \mid y * y = x\}.$$
$$D = \{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x\} \subseteq \{x : \mathbf{Z} \mid 0 \leq x\}.$$

# Axiomatic Specification

- An **axiomatic specification** is a formula  $A(f)$ :
  - ▶  $A(f)$  is an **axiom** that expresses the behavior of  $f$ .
- $g : I \rightarrow O$  **satisfies**  $A(f)$  if  $A(g)$  is true.

- **Example 1:** Integer square function  $f : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .

$$A(f) \Leftrightarrow \forall x : \mathbf{Z} . f(x) = x * x.$$

- **Example 2:** Integer square root function  $g : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .

$$A(f) \Leftrightarrow \forall x : \mathbf{Z} . \text{if}(\exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x, \\ f(x) * f(x) = x, \\ f(x) \uparrow).$$

# What is a State?

- A **state of a machine** is an abstract entity that can only be defined indirectly.
- A **description of a state** of a machine is a description of all the information needed to predict the machine's future response to input from the external environment.
- Physical machines have an infinite number of states, but they can usually be viewed as if they had a finite number of states.
  - ▶ Aspects of a state which are irrelevant to the behavior of the machine (e.g., temperature and location) can be ignored.
  - ▶ **Transition states** between **stable states** can also be ignored.
- Digital computers are designed to behave as if they were **finite state machines**.

# State Machines

- A **state machine**  $M$  consists of the following components:
  1. A fixed set  $S$  of **states** including an **initial state**.
  2. A fixed set  $I$  of **inputs**.
  3. A fixed set  $O$  of **outputs**.
  4. An **output relation**  $\text{out} \subseteq I \times S \times O$ .
  5. A **next state relation**  $\text{ns} \subseteq I \times S \times S$ .
- $M$  is a **finite state machine** if  $S$  is finite.
- $M$  is **deterministic** if the relations are functions, i.e.,  
 $\text{out} : I \times S \rightarrow O$  and  $\text{ns} : I \times S \rightarrow S$ .



# Computing Machines

- A computing machine can be viewed as a finite state machine:
  - ▶ The machine can only be in one of finitely many **stable states**.
  - ▶ An **execution** takes the machine through a **sequence of states**.
- A program, module, or procedure can be viewed as a small computing machine, i.e., a finite state machine.
  - ▶ A state of the machine is the set of variables (data structures) that the program, module, or procedure can modify.

# Before/After Specifications

- Let  $I$  be a set of possible inputs,  $O$  be a set of possible outputs, and  $S$  be a set of possible states.
- A procedure (possibly with side-effects) can be viewed as a function  $f : I \times S \rightarrow O \times S$  that maps inputs and before-states to outputs and after-states.
- The function  $f$  can be represented as a pair  $(f_1, f_2)$  of functions where:

$$f_1 : I \times S \rightarrow O.$$

$$f_2 : I \times S \rightarrow S.$$

- An input/output function is a special case of a before/after function where the after-state is always the same as the before-state.

# Before/After Specification Format

Components of a before/after procedure specification:

1. The **signature** of the procedure.
2. The **exceptions** that the procedure can raise.
  - ▶ Represented as predicates.
3. **State constants** with value conditions.
4. **State variables** with initial values.
5. **Behavior rules** (preferably given in a tabular format):
  - ▶ Output rules.
  - ▶ State transition rules.
  - ▶ Exception rules.

# Example 1: Counted Integer Square Function

1. counted-int-square :  $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .
2. Exceptions: none required.
3. State constants: none.
4. State variables:  $c : \mathbf{Z}$  [initially  $c = 0$ ].
5. Behavior rules:

Input $x : \mathbf{Z}$	Output $y : \mathbf{Z}$	State Transition	Exception
$x \in \mathbf{Z}$	$y = x * x$	$c' = c + 1$	

## Example 2: Counted Integer Square Root Function

1. counted-int-sqrt :  $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .
2. Exceptions: sqrt-complex, sqrt-irrational.
3. State constants: none.
4. State variables:  $c : \mathbf{Z}$  [initially  $c = 0$ ].
5. Behavior rules:

Input $x : \mathbf{Z}$	Output $y : \mathbf{Z}$	State Transition	Exception
$x < 0$		$c' = c + 1$	sqrt-complex
$0 \leq x \wedge$ $\neg \exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x$		$c' = c + 1$	sqrt-irrational
$0 \leq x \wedge$ $\exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x$	$0 \leq y \wedge$ $y * y = x$	$c' = c + 1$	

# Trace Specifications

- Let  $I$  be a set of possible inputs,  $O$  be a set of possible outputs,  $S$  be a set of possible states, and  $S^*$  be the set of finite sequences of members of  $S$ .
- A **trace** is an execution history expressed as a sequence of states.
  - ▶ A finite trace is a member of  $S^*$ .
- A procedure (possibly with side-effects) can be viewed as a function  $f : I \times S^* \rightarrow O \times S^*$  that maps inputs and before-traces to outputs and after-traces.
- The function  $f$  can be represented as a pair  $(f_1, f_2)$  of functions where:

$$f_1 : I \times S^* \rightarrow O.$$

$$f_2 : I \times S^* \rightarrow S^*.$$

# Pre- and Postconditions Specification

- A state is specified by a tuple  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of variables.
- A procedure is specified by:
  1. A **precondition**  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on the initial values of the state variables.
  2. A **postcondition**  $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n; x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  on the initial and final values of the state variables.
- A procedure **satisfies** the specification if, for all states  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , whenever

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

holds, the procedure is started in state  $X$ , and the procedure terminates in state  $X' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ , then

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n; x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$$

holds.

# Partial vs. Total Correctness

- A procedure  $P$  is **partially correct** with respect to a pre- and postcondition specification  $S = (\varphi, \psi)$  if  $P$  satisfies  $S$ .
- A procedure  $P$  is **totally correct** with respect to a pre- and postcondition specification  $S = (\varphi, \psi)$  if both:
  - ▶  $P$  satisfies  $S$ .
  - ▶  $P$  terminates whenever it is started in a state for which the precondition  $\varphi$  holds.



# Module Design Documents

- Module Guide.
- For each module:
  - ▶ Module Interface Specification (MIS).
  - ▶ Module Internal Design (MID).

# Module Guide

- The Module Guide lists all the modules of the software product.
- The following information is given for each module:
  1. Module name.
  2. Module nickname (2 or 3 letters).
  3. Services: Short informal description of what services the module provides.
  4. Secret: Short informal description of what secret the module hides.
  5. Expected changes: A short description of expected implementation changes.

# Components of a Before/After MIS

1. Module name.
2. Imported modules.
3. Interface.
  - ▶ Types.
  - ▶ Constant signatures.
  - ▶ Procedure signatures.
  - ▶ Exceptions.
4. State constants with value conditions.
5. State variables with initial values.
6. Behavior rules.
  - ▶ Output rules.
  - ▶ State transition rules.
  - ▶ Exception rules.

## Example 3: Before/After MIS for a Stack Data Structure (1)

- Module name: StackDataStructure.
- Imported modules: ElementAdt.
- Interface:

```
procedure top(): Elt;  
procedure height(): Int;  
procedure push(e: Elt);  
procedure pop();  
exception EmptyStack;  
exception FullStack;
```

- State constants:

```
max : Int [0 ≤ max]
```

- State variables:

```
s : list[Elt] [initially s = nil]
```

## Example 3: MIS for a Stack Data Structure (2)

- Behavior rules:

Top

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
	$\text{hd}(s)$		$s = \text{nil} \rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}$

Height

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
	$ s $		

Push

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
$e : \text{Elt}$		$s' = \text{cons}(e, s)$	$ s  = \text{max} \rightsquigarrow \text{FullStack}$

Pop

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
		$s' = \text{tl}(s)$	$s = \text{nil} \rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}$

# Components of an Axiomatic Input/Output MIS

1. Module name.
2. Imported modules.
3. Interface.
  - ▶ Types.
  - ▶ Constant signatures.
  - ▶ Procedure names and types.
  - ▶ Exceptions.
4. Axioms.

Note: An axiomatic input/output MIS has the form of an **axiomatic theory**  $(L, \Gamma)$  where:

- $L$  is the **language** defined by the interface of the MIS.
- $\Gamma$  is the set of **axioms** of the MIS.

# Uses of an Axiomatic Input/Output MIS

1. To **design** an MID that satisfies the MIS.
2. To **explore** the abstract behavior of the interface components.
3. To **blackbox test** that an MID satisfies the MIS.
4. To **mathematically verify** that an MID satisfies the MIS.

## Example 4: Axiomatic Input/Output MIS for a Stacks ADT (1)

- Module name: StackAdt.
- Imported modules: ElementAdt.
- Interface:

```
type      Stack;  
const     bottom: Stack;  
procedure push(e: Elt; s: Stack): Stack;  
procedure top(s: Stack): Elt;  
procedure pop(s: Stack): Stack;  
exception EmptyStack;
```



## Example 4: MIS for a Stacks ADT (2)

- Axioms:

1. Bottom is not a push stack.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, s : \text{Stack} . \text{bottom} \neq \text{push}(e, s)$$

2. Push is one-to-one.

$$\forall e_1, e_2 : \text{Elt}, s_1, s_2 : \text{Stack} .$$

$$\text{push}(e_1, s_1) = \text{push}(e_2, s_2) \Rightarrow (e_1 = e_2 \wedge s_1 = s_2)$$

3. Induction axiom for stacks.

$$\forall P : \text{Stack} \rightarrow \text{Bool} .$$

$$[P(\text{bottom}) \wedge$$

$$\forall s : \text{Stack} . [P(s) \Rightarrow \forall e : \text{Elt} . P(\text{push}(e, s))]]$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall s : \text{Stack} . P(s)$$

4. Top applied to a push stack.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, s : \text{Stack} . \text{top}(\text{push}(e, s)) = e$$

5. Pop applied to a push stack.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, s : \text{Stack} . \text{pop}(\text{push}(e, s)) = s$$

## Example 4: MIS for a Stacks ADT (3)

6. Bottom has no top.

$\text{top}(\text{bottom}) \uparrow \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}]$

7. Bottom has no pop.

$\text{pop}(\text{bottom}) \uparrow \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}]$

## Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (1)

- Module name: ListAdt.
- Imported modules: ElementAdt.
- Interface:

```
type      List;  
const    nil: List;  
procedure cons(e: Elt; k: List): List;  
procedure member(i: Int, k: List): Elt;  
procedure take(i: Int, k: List): List;  
procedure drop(i: Int, k: List): List;  
exception BadIndex;
```

## Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (2)

- Axioms:

1. Nil is not a cons list.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, k : \text{List} . \text{nil} \neq \text{cons}(e, k)$$

2. Cons is one-to-one.

$$\forall e_1, e_2 : \text{Elt}, k_1, k_2 : \text{List} .$$

$$\text{cons}(e_1, k_1) = \text{cons}(e_2, k_2) \Rightarrow (e_1 = e_2 \ \& \ k_1 = k_2)$$

3. Induction axiom for lists.

$$\forall P : \text{List} \rightarrow \text{Bool} .$$

$$[P(\text{nil}) \ \&$$

$$\forall k : \text{List} . [P(k) \Rightarrow \forall e : \text{Elt} . P(\text{cons}(e, k))]]$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall k : \text{List} . P(k)$$

4. Membership with respect to nil.

$$\forall i : \text{Int} . \text{Member}(i, \text{nil}) \uparrow \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}]$$

## Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (3)

### 5. Membership with respect to cons.

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall i : \text{Int}, e : \text{Elt}, k : \text{List} . \\ &\quad \text{member}(i, \text{cons}(e, k)) \simeq \\ &\quad \text{if}(i < 0, \\ &\quad \quad \perp \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}], \\ &\quad \text{if}(i = 0, e, \text{member}(i - 1, k))) \end{aligned}$$

### 6. Membership with respect to take.

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall i, j : \text{Int}, k : \text{List} . \\ &\quad \text{member}(i, \text{take}(j, k)) \simeq \\ &\quad \text{if}(i < 0, \\ &\quad \quad \perp \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}], \\ &\quad \text{if}(i < j, \\ &\quad \quad \text{member}(i, k), \\ &\quad \quad \perp \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}])) \end{aligned}$$

## Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (4)

### 7. Membership with respect to drop.

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall i, j : \text{Int}, k : \text{List} . \\ &\quad \text{member}(i, \text{drop}(j, k)) \simeq \\ &\quad \text{if}(i < 0, \\ &\quad \quad \perp \ [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}], \\ &\quad \text{member}(j + i, k)) \end{aligned}$$