

SE 2AA4 Winter 2007

06 Specification

William M. Farmer

Department of Computing and Software
McMaster University

27 March 2007



Descriptions of Engineering Products

- A **description** of a product is a **model** of the product .
 - ▶ Should include only certain key aspects of the product.
 - ▶ Should be easier to understand than the product itself.
- Mathematics is used to make descriptions precise.
- A variety of descriptions, instead of a single description, is used to efficiently describe the different aspects of a product.
 - ▶ There is never a complete description of a product.

Specifications

- A **specification** describes the attributes **required** of a product.
- A product **satisfies** a specification if it possesses the attributes described by the specification.
 - ▶ The product is **acceptable** iff it satisfies specification.
- A specification serves several purposes:
 1. An agreement between client and developer, designer and implementer, etc.
 2. Blueprint for developing the product.
 3. Basis for verifying the correctness of the product.
 4. High-level description of the product.

Actual Descriptions

- An **actual description** describes the **actual** attributes of a product.
- A **constructive description** describes how the product is constructed from other products.
 - ▶ A program's code is a constructive description.
- A **behavioral description** describes how the product works.
 - ▶ **Blackbox**: Describes the external (visible) behavior.
 - ▶ **Whitebox**: Describes the internal (invisible) behavior.

Specification vs. Description

- Both specifications and descriptions describe attributes, but they are different in intent:
 - ▶ A specification describes the attributes that the product **is required to have**.
 - ▶ A description describes the attributes that the product **actually has**.
- The same descriptive item may be interpreted as either a specification or a description.
- Specifications are often interpreted as **abstract descriptions**.
- Descriptions are often interpreted as **concrete specifications**.

Uses of Specifications

- Statement of the **requirements** of a product.
 - ▶ Requirements specification.
 - ▶ Design specification.
 - ▶ User's requirements specification.
- Statement of the **interface** between components.
 - ▶ External environment specification.
 - ▶ Communication protocols.
 - ▶ Module interface specifications.
- **Reference point** for verification and maintenance.

Refinement

- Let S and S' be specifications.
- S' is a **refinement** of S if every product that satisfies S' also satisfies S .
- The **refinement method** is a powerful design method in which a specification S_0 is incrementally refined to a specification S_n of a product that is readily implementable.

Procedure Specification Methods

1. Input/output specification.
2. Before/after specification.
 - ▶ Input/output specification is a special case.
3. Trace specification.
4. Pre- and postcondition specification.

Note: Specifications methods 1–3 view procedures as certain kinds of functions.

Review of Functions

- $f : A \rightarrow B$ means f is a function that maps members of A to members of B .
- f can be viewed as a set of ordered pairs:
$$\{(x, y) : A \times B \mid y = f(x)\} \subseteq A \times B.$$
- f may not be defined for all members of A .
 - ▶ The **domain** of f is the set $\text{dom}(f) = \{x \in A \mid f(x) \downarrow\}$.
 - ▶ f is **total** if $\text{dom}(f) = A$.
 - ▶ f is **partial** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset A$.
- The function can be specified in various ways:
 - ▶ **Definitional specification:** $f = E$.
 - ▶ **Relational specification:** (R, D) .
 - ▶ **Axiomatic specification:** $A(f)$.

Partiality in Software Specifications

Specifications can be partial in two ways:

1. A specification may **not fully specify** an object or operation.
 - ▶ What is not specified is considered to be implicitly specified as “don’t care” and can thus be freely implemented.
2. A specification may state that the application of an operation in certain states or on certain inputs is **undefined** or **illegal**.
 - ▶ An undefined application is implemented by an **exception**.

Input/output Specifications

- Let I be a set of possible inputs, and O be a set of possible outputs.
- A procedure **without side-effects** can be viewed as a function $f : I \rightarrow O$ that maps inputs to outputs.

Definitional Specification

- A **definition** specifies a unique object.
- So a definition of a function specifies a unique function:
 - ▶ Syntax: $f = E$ where E is an expression.
 - ▶ Semantics: f is the unique function denoted by E .
- **Example 1:** Integer square function $f : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.
$$f = \lambda x : \mathbf{Z} . x * x \quad (\text{or } f(x) = x * x).$$
- **Example 2:** Integer square root function $g : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.
$$g = \lambda x : \mathbf{Z} . \text{I } y : \mathbf{Z} . 0 \leq y \wedge y * y = x.$$

Notice that g is partial.

Relational Specification

- A **relational specification** is a pair (R, D) where:
 1. $R \subseteq I \times O$.
 2. $D \subseteq \text{dom}(R) = \{x : I \mid \exists y : O . R(x, y)\} \subseteq I$.
- $f : I \rightarrow O$ **satisfies** (R, D) if:
 1. $\forall x : I . x \in \text{dom}(f) \Rightarrow R(x, f(x))$.
 2. $D \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$.
- **Example 1:** Integer square function $f : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.

$$R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \mid y = x * x\}.$$
$$D = \mathbf{Z}.$$

- **Example 2:** Integer square root function $g : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.

$$R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \mid y * y = x\}.$$
$$D = \{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x\} \subseteq \{x : \mathbf{Z} \mid 0 \leq x\}.$$

Axiomatic Specification

- An **axiomatic specification** is a formula $A(f)$:
 - ▶ $A(f)$ is an **axiom** that expresses the behavior of f .
- $g : I \rightarrow O$ **satisfies** $A(f)$ if $A(g)$ is true.
- **Example 1:** Integer square function $f : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.

$$A(f) \Leftrightarrow \forall x : \mathbf{Z} . f(x) = x * x.$$

- **Example 2:** Integer square root function $g : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.

$$A(f) \Leftrightarrow \forall x : \mathbf{Z} . \text{if}(\exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x, \\ f(x) * f(x) = x, \\ f(x) \uparrow).$$

What is a State?

- A **state of a machine** is an abstract entity that can only be defined indirectly.
- A **description of a state** of a machine is a description of all the information needed to predict the machine's future response to input from the external environment.
- Physical machines have an infinite number of states, but they can usually be viewed as if they had a finite number of states.
 - ▶ Aspects of a state which are irrelevant to the behavior of the machine (e.g., temperature and location) can be ignored.
 - ▶ **Transition states** between **stable states** can also be ignored.
- Digital computers are designed to behave as if they were **finite state machines**.

State Machines

- A state machine M consists of the following components:
 1. A fixed set S of states including an initial state.
 2. A fixed set I of inputs.
 3. A fixed set O of outputs.
 4. An output relation $\text{out} \subseteq I \times S \times O$.
 5. A next state relation $\text{ns} \subseteq I \times S \times S$.
- M is a finite state machine if S is finite.
- M is deterministic if the relations are functions, i.e., $\text{out} : I \times S \rightarrow O$ and $\text{ns} : I \times S \rightarrow S$.

Computing Machines

- A computing machine can be viewed as a finite state machine:
 - ▶ The machine can only be in one of finitely many **stable states**.
 - ▶ An **execution** takes the machine through a **sequence of states**.
- A program, module, or procedure can be viewed as a small computing machine, i.e., a finite state machine.
 - ▶ A state of the machine is the set of variables (data structures) that the program, module, or procedure can modify.

Before/After Specifications

- Let I be a set of possible inputs, O be a set of possible outputs, and S be a set of possible states.
- A procedure (possibly with side-effects) can be viewed as a function $f : I \times S \rightarrow O \times S$ that maps inputs and before-states to outputs and after-states.
- The function f can be represented as a pair (f_1, f_2) of functions where:

$$f_1 : I \times S \rightarrow O.$$

$$f_2 : I \times S \rightarrow S.$$

- An input/output function is a special case of a before/after function where the after-state is always the same as the before-state.

Before/After Specification Format

Components of a before/after procedure specification:

1. The **signature** of the procedure.
2. The **exceptions** that the procedure can raise.
 - ▶ Represented as predicates.
3. **State constants** with value conditions.
4. **State variables** with initial values.
5. **Behavior rules** (preferably given in a tabular format):
 - ▶ Output rules.
 - ▶ State transition rules.
 - ▶ Exception rules.

Example 1: Counted Integer Square Function

1. $\text{counted-int-square} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.
2. Exceptions: none required.
3. State constants: none.
4. State variables: $c : \mathbf{Z}$ [initially $c = 0$].
5. Behavior rules:

Input $x : \mathbf{Z}$	Output $y : \mathbf{Z}$	State Transition	Exception
$x \in \mathbf{Z}$	$y = x * x$	$c' = c + 1$	

Example 2: Counted Integer Square Root Function

1. $\text{counted-int-sqrt} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.
2. Exceptions: sqrt-complex , sqrt-irrational .
3. State constants: none.
4. State variables: $c : \mathbf{Z}$ [initially $c = 0$].
5. Behavior rules:

Input $x : \mathbf{Z}$	Output $y : \mathbf{Z}$	State Transition	Exception
$x < 0$		$c' = c + 1$	sqrt-complex
$0 \leq x \wedge \neg \exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x$		$c' = c + 1$	sqrt-irrational
$0 \leq x \wedge \exists y : \mathbf{Z} . y * y = x$	$0 \leq y \wedge y * y = x$	$c' = c + 1$	

Trace Specifications

- Let I be a set of possible inputs, O be a set of possible outputs, S be a set of possible states, and S^* be the set of finite sequences of members of S .
- A **trace** is an execution history expressed as a sequence of states.
 - ▶ A finite trace is a member of S^* .
- A procedure (possibly with side-effects) can be viewed as a function $f : I \times S^* \rightarrow O \times S^*$ that maps inputs and before-traces to outputs and after-traces.
- The function f can be represented as a pair (f_1, f_2) of functions where:

$$\begin{aligned}f_1 : I \times S^* &\rightarrow O. \\f_2 : I \times S^* &\rightarrow S^*.\end{aligned}$$

Pre- and Postconditions Specification

- A state is specified by a tuple $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of variables.
- A procedure is specified by:
 1. A **precondition** $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on the initial values of the state variables.
 2. A **postcondition** $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n; x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ on the initial and final values of the state variables.
- A procedure **satisfies** the specification if, for all states $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, whenever

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

holds, the procedure is started in state X , and the procedure terminates in state $X' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$, then

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n; x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$$

holds.

Partial vs. Total Correctness

- A procedure P is **partially correct** with respect to a pre- and postcondition specification $S = (\varphi, \psi)$ if P satisfies S .
- A procedure P is **totally correct** with respect to a pre- and postcondition specification $S = (\varphi, \psi)$ if both:
 - ▶ P satisfies S .
 - ▶ P terminates whenever it is started in a state for which the precondition φ holds.

Module Design Documents

- Module Guide.
- For each module:
 - ▶ Module Interface Specification (MIS).
 - ▶ Module Internal Design (MID).

Module Guide

- The Module Guide lists all the modules of the software product.
- The following information is given for each module:
 1. Module name.
 2. Module nickname (2 or 3 letters).
 3. Services: Short informal description of what services the module provides.
 4. Secret: Short informal description of what secret the module hides.
 5. Expected changes: A short description of expected implementation changes.

Components of a Before/After MIS

1. Module name.
2. Imported modules.
3. Interface.
 - ▶ Types.
 - ▶ Constant signatures.
 - ▶ Procedure signatures.
 - ▶ Exceptions.
4. State constants with value conditions.
5. State variables with initial values.
6. Behavior rules.
 - ▶ Output rules.
 - ▶ State transition rules.
 - ▶ Exception rules.

Example 3: Before/After MIS for a Stack Data Structure (1)

- Module name: StackDataStructure.
- Imported modules: ElementAdt.
- Interface:

```
procedure top(): Elt;
procedure height(): Int;
procedure push(e: Elt);
procedure pop();
exception EmptyStack;
exception FullStack;
```

- State constants:

$\max : \text{Int } [0 \leq \max]$

- State variables:

$s : \text{list[Elt]} \text{ [initially } s = \text{nil}]$

Example 3: MIS for a Stack Data Structure (2)

- Behavior rules:

Top

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
	$hd(s)$		$s = \text{nil} \rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}$

Height

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
	$ s $		

Push

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
$e : \text{Elt}$		$s' = \text{cons}(e, s)$	$ s = \text{max} \rightsquigarrow \text{FullStack}$

Pop

Input	Output	Transition	Exception
		$s' = \text{tl}(s)$	$s = \text{nil} \rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}$

Components of an Axiomatic Input/Output MIS

1. Module name.
2. Imported modules.
3. Interface.
 - ▶ Types.
 - ▶ Constant signatures.
 - ▶ Procedure names and types.
 - ▶ Exceptions.
4. Axioms.

Note: An axiomatic input/output MIS has the form of an axiomatic theory (L, Γ) where:

- L is the language defined by the interface of the MIS.
- Γ is the set of axioms of the MIS.

Uses of an Axiomatic Input/Output MIS

1. To **design** an MID that satisfies the MIS.
2. To **explore** the abstract behavior of the interface components.
3. To **blackbox test** that an MID satisfies the MIS.
4. To **mathematically verify** that an MID satisfies the MIS.

Example 4: Axiomatic Input/Output MIS for a Stacks ADT (1)

- Module name: StackAdt.
- Imported modules: ElementAdt.
- Interface:

```
type      Stack;
const     bottom: Stack;
procedure push(e: Elt; s: Stack): Stack;
procedure top(s: Stack): Elt;
procedure pop(s: Stack): Stack;
exception EmptyStack;
```

Example 4: MIS for a Stacks ADT (2)

- Axioms:

1. Bottom is not a push stack.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, s : \text{Stack} . \text{bottom} \neq \text{push}(e, s)$$

2. Push is one-to-one.

$$\forall e_1, e_2 : \text{Elt}, s_1, s_2 : \text{Stack} .$$

$$\text{push}(e_1, s_1) = \text{push}(e_2, s_2) \Rightarrow (e_1 = e_2 \wedge s_1 = s_2)$$

3. Induction axiom for stacks.

$$\forall P : \text{Stack} \rightarrow \text{Bool} .$$

$$[P(\text{bottom}) \wedge$$

$$\forall s : \text{Stack} . [P(s) \Rightarrow \forall e : \text{Elt} . P(\text{push}(e, s))]]$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall s : \text{Stack} . P(s)$$

4. Top applied to a push stack.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, s : \text{Stack} . \text{top}(\text{push}(e, s)) = e$$

5. Pop applied to a push stack.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, s : \text{Stack} . \text{pop}(\text{push}(e, s)) = s$$

Example 4: MIS for a Stacks ADT (3)

6. Bottom has no top.

$\text{top}(\text{bottom}) \uparrow$ [$\rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}$]

7. Bottom has no pop.

$\text{pop}(\text{bottom}) \uparrow$ [$\rightsquigarrow \text{EmptyStack}$]

Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (1)

- Module name: ListAdt.
- Imported modules: ElementAdt.
- Interface:

```
type      List;
const     nil: List;
procedure cons(e: Elt; k: List): List;
procedure member(i: Int, k: List): Elt;
procedure take(i: Int, k: List): List;
procedure drop(i: Int, k: List): List;
exception BadIndex;
```

Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (2)

- Axioms:

1. Nil is not a cons list.

$$\forall e : \text{Elt}, k : \text{List} . \text{nil} \neq \text{cons}(e, k)$$

2. Cons is one-to-one.

$$\forall e_1, e_2 : \text{Elt}, k_1, k_2 : \text{List} .$$

$$\text{cons}(e_1, k_1) = \text{cons}(e_2, k_2) \Rightarrow (e_1 = e_2 \ \& \ k_1 = k_2)$$

3. Induction axiom for lists.

$$\forall P : \text{List} \rightarrow \text{Bool} .$$

$$[P(\text{nil}) \ \&$$

$$\forall k : \text{List} . [P(k) \Rightarrow \forall e : \text{Elt} . P(\text{cons}(e, k))]]$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall k : \text{List} . P(k)$$

4. Membership with respect to nil.

$$\forall i : \text{Int} . \text{Member}(i, \text{nil}) \uparrow \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}]$$

Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (3)

5. Membership with respect to cons.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i : \text{Int}, e : \text{Elt}, k : \text{List} . \\ \text{member}(i, \text{cons}(e, k)) \simeq \\ \text{if}(i < 0, \\ \perp \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}], \\ \text{if}(i = 0, e, \text{member}(i - 1, k))) \end{aligned}$$

6. Membership with respect to take.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i, j : \text{Int}, k : \text{List} . \\ \text{member}(i, \text{take}(j, k)) \simeq \\ \text{if}(i < 0, \\ \perp \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}], \\ \text{if}(i < j, \\ \text{member}(i, k), \\ \perp \quad [\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex}])) \end{aligned}$$

Example 5: MIS for a Lists ADT (4)

7. Membership with respect to drop.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i, j : \text{Int}, k : \text{List} . \\ \text{member}(i, \text{drop}(j, k)) \simeq \\ \text{if}(i < 0, \\ \perp \text{ [}\rightsquigarrow \text{BadIndex]}, \\ \text{member}(j + i, k)) \end{aligned}$$