

# A Sound and Complete Proof System for **STTwU**\*

William M. Farmer<sup>†</sup>  
McMaster University

September 15, 2004

## Abstract

STTwU is a very simple version of simple type theory that admits undefined terms and statements about definedness. This paper gives a Hilbert-style proof system for STTwU and proves that it is sound and complete for the general model semantics for STTwU.

## 1 Introduction

STTwU is a very simple version of simple type theory that admits undefined terms and statements about definedness [6]. (STTwU is short for Simple Type Theory with Undefinedness.)  $\mathbf{A}_u$  is a Hilbert-style proof system for STTwU defined below. It is a modification of the proof system  $\mathbf{A}$  for STT given in [5] which is based on P. Andrews' proof system [1, 2] for  $\mathcal{Q}_0$ , an elegant version of Church's type theory.  $\mathbf{A}_u$  is closely related to the proof systems for the undefinedness logics  $\mathbf{PF}$  [3] and  $\mathbf{PF}^*$  [4].

We prove that  $\mathbf{A}_u$  is sound and complete with respect to the general models semantics for STTwU. The completeness proof is very similar to the completeness proofs for  $\mathbf{PF}$  and  $\mathbf{PF}^*$ , which are derived from Andrews' proof of the Henkin completeness theorem [7] for  $\mathcal{Q}_0$ .

We assume the reader is familiar with the definitions given in [6].

---

\*Published as Technical Report No. CAS-04-01-WF, 8 pp., McMaster University, 2004.

<sup>†</sup>Address: Department of Computing and Software, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada. E-mail: [wmfarmer@mcmaster.ca](mailto:wmfarmer@mcmaster.ca).

## 2 General Models

A *general structure* for a language  $L = (\mathcal{C}, \tau)$  of STTWU is a pair  $M = (\mathcal{D}, I)$  where:

- (1)  $\mathcal{D} = \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$  is a set of nonempty domains (sets).
- (2)  $D_* = \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}$ .
- (3) For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $D_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$  is some nonempty set of *total* functions from  $D_\alpha$  to  $D_\beta$  if  $\beta = *$  and some nonempty set of *partial and total* functions from  $D_\alpha$  to  $D_\beta$  if  $\beta \neq *$ .
- (4)  $I$  maps each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  to a member of  $D_{\tau(c)}$ .

$M$  is a *general model*<sup>1</sup> for  $L$  if there is a binary function  $V^M$  that satisfies the same conditions as the valuation function for a standard model (see [6]). A general model is thus the same as a standard model except that the function domains of the model may not be “fully inhabited”. Hence every standard model for  $L$  is also a general model for  $L$ .

Let  $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$  be a set of formulas of  $L$ .  $A$  is *valid* in  $M$ , written  $M \models A$ , if  $V_\varphi^M(A) = \mathsf{T}$  for all variable assignments  $\varphi$  into  $M$ .  $M$  is a *general model* for  $\Gamma$  if  $M \models B$  for all  $B \in \Gamma$ .  $A$  is *valid in the general sense* if  $M \models A$  for every general model  $M$  for  $L$ .

## 3 The Proof System

$\mathbf{A}_u$  is defined relative to a STTWU language  $L = (\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ . It consists of the following sixteen axiom schemas and two rules of inference:

### A1 (Truth Values)

$$\forall f : (* \rightarrow *) . (f(\mathsf{T}) \wedge f(\mathsf{F})) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : * . f(x)).$$

### A2 (Leibniz’ Law)

$$\forall x, y : \alpha . (x = y) \Rightarrow (\forall p : (\alpha \rightarrow *) . p(x) \Leftrightarrow p(y)).$$

### A3 (Extensionality)

$$\forall f, g : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) . (f = g) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : \alpha . f(x) \simeq g(x)).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The notion of a “general model” was introduced by L. Henkin in [7].

**A4 (Beta-Reduction)**

$$A_\alpha \downarrow \Rightarrow (\lambda x : \alpha . B_\beta)(A_\alpha) \simeq B_\beta[(x : \alpha) \mapsto A_\alpha]$$

provided  $A_\alpha$  is free for  $(x : \alpha)$  in  $B_\beta$ .

**A5 (Equality and Quasi-Quality)**

$$A_\alpha \downarrow \Rightarrow (B_\alpha \downarrow \Rightarrow (A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha) \simeq (A_\alpha = B_\alpha)).$$

**A6 (Expressions of Type  $*$  are Defined)**

$$A_* \downarrow .$$

**A7 (Variables are Defined)**

$$(x : \alpha) \downarrow \quad \text{where } x \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{T}.$$

**A8 (Constants are Defined)**

$$c \downarrow \quad \text{where } c \in \mathcal{C}.$$

**A9 (Function Abstractions are Defined)**

$$(\lambda x : \alpha . B_\beta) \downarrow$$

**A10 (Improper Function Application)**

$$(F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} \uparrow \vee A_\alpha \uparrow) \Rightarrow F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}(A_\alpha) \uparrow \quad \text{where } \beta \neq *.$$

**A11 (Improper Predicate Application)**

$$(F_{\alpha \rightarrow *} \uparrow \vee A_\alpha \uparrow) \Rightarrow \neg F_{\alpha \rightarrow *}(A_\alpha).$$

**A12 (Improper Equality)**

$$(A_\alpha \uparrow \vee B_\alpha \uparrow) \Rightarrow \neg(A_\alpha = B_\alpha).$$

**A13 (Proper Definite Description of Type  $\alpha \neq *$ )**

$$(\exists ! x : \alpha . A_*) \Rightarrow ((\text{I}x : \alpha . A_*) \downarrow \wedge A_*[(x : \alpha) \mapsto (\text{I}x : \alpha . A_*)])$$

where  $\alpha \neq *$  and provided  $(\text{I}x : \alpha . A_*)$  is free for  $(x : \alpha)$  in  $A_*$ .

**A14 (Improper Definite Description of Type  $\alpha \neq *$ )**

$$\neg(\exists!x : \alpha . A_*) \Rightarrow (Ix : \alpha . A_*)\uparrow \quad \text{where } \alpha \neq *.$$

**A15 (Proper Definite Description of Type  $*$ )**

$$(\exists!x : * . A_*) \Rightarrow A_*[(x : *) \mapsto (Ix : * . A_*)]$$

provided  $(Ix : * . A_*)$  is free for  $(x : *)$  in  $A_*$ .

**A16 (Improper Definite Description of Type  $*$ )**

$$\neg(\exists!x : * . A_*) \Rightarrow \neg(Ix : * . A_*).$$

**R1 (Modus Ponens)** From  $A_*$  and  $A_* \Rightarrow B_*$  infer  $B_*$ .

**R2 (Quasi-Equality Substitution)** From  $A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha$  and  $C_*$  infer the result of replacing one occurrence of  $A_\alpha$  in  $C_*$  by an occurrence of  $B_\alpha$ , provided that the occurrence of  $A_\alpha$  in  $C_*$  is not immediately preceded by  $\lambda$ .

A *proof* of a formula  $A$  in  $\mathbf{A}_u$  is a finite sequence of formulas of  $L$ , ending with  $A$ , such that each member in the sequence is an instance of an axiom schema of  $\mathbf{A}_u$  or is inferred from preceding formulas in the sequence by a rule of inference of  $\mathbf{A}_u$ . A *theorem* of  $\mathbf{A}_u$  is a formula for which there is a proof in  $\mathbf{A}_u$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a set of formulas of  $L$ . A *proof* of a formula  $A$  from  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbf{A}_u$  is a finite sequence  $\pi_1 \frown \pi_2$  of formulas, ending with  $A$ , such that  $\pi_1$  is a proof in  $\mathbf{A}_u$  and each member  $D$  of  $\pi_2$  satisfies at least one of the following conditions:

- (1)  $D \in \Gamma$ .
- (2)  $D$  is a member of  $\pi_1$  (and hence a theorem of  $\mathbf{A}_u$ ).
- (3)  $D$  is inferred from preceding members of  $\pi_2$  by R1.
- (4)  $D$  is inferred from two preceding members  $A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha$  and  $C_*$  of  $\pi_2$  by R2, provided that the occurrence of  $A_\alpha$  in  $C_*$  is not in a subexpression  $\lambda x : \beta . E_\gamma$  of  $C_*$  where  $(x : \beta)$  is free in a member of  $\Gamma$  and free in  $A_\alpha \simeq B_\beta$ .

We write  $\Gamma \vdash A$  to mean there is a proof of  $A$  from  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbf{A}_u$ . ( $\vdash A$  is written instead of  $\emptyset \vdash A$ .) Clearly,  $A$  is a theorem of  $\mathbf{A}_u$  iff  $\vdash A$ . The next two theorems follow immediately from the definition above.

**Theorem 1 (R1')** *If  $\Gamma \vdash A_*$  and  $\Gamma \vdash A_* \Rightarrow B_*$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash B_*$ .*

**Theorem 2 (R2')** *If  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha$  and  $\Gamma \vdash C_*$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash D_*$ , where  $D_*$  is the result of replacing one occurrence of  $A_\alpha$  in  $C_*$  by an occurrence of  $B_\alpha$ , provided that the occurrence of  $A_\alpha$  in  $C_*$  is not immediately preceded by  $\lambda$  or in a subexpression  $\lambda x : \beta . E_\gamma$  of  $C_*$  where  $(x : \beta)$  is free in a member of  $\Gamma$  and free in  $A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha$ .*

## 4 Basic Metatheorems

**Theorem 3 (Beta-Reduction Rule)** *If  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha \downarrow$  and  $\Gamma \vdash C_*$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash D_*$ , where  $D_*$  is the result of replacing one occurrence of  $(\lambda x : \alpha . B_\beta)(A_\alpha)$  in  $C_*$  by an occurrence of  $B_\beta[(x : \alpha) \mapsto A_\alpha]$ , provided  $A_\alpha$  is free for  $(x : \alpha)$  in  $B_\beta$  and the occurrence of  $(\lambda x : \alpha . B_\beta)(A_\alpha)$  in  $C_*$  is not in a subexpression  $\lambda y : \gamma . E_\delta$  of  $C_*$  where  $(y : \gamma)$  is free in a member of  $\Gamma$  and free in  $(\lambda x : \alpha . B_\beta)(A_\alpha)$ .*

**Proof** Follows immediately from A4, R1', and R2'.  $\square$

**Lemma 1** *If  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha \downarrow$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha \simeq A_\alpha$ .*

**Proof** We obtain  $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \alpha . x)(A_\alpha) \simeq A_\alpha$  by applying R1' to the hypothesis and an instance of A4. The conclusion of the lemma then follows by the Beta-Reduction Rule.  $\square$

**Corollary 1**  $\vdash \top$ .

**Proof** By the definition of  $\top$ , A9, and Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2** *If  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha \downarrow$  and  $\Gamma \vdash B_\alpha \downarrow$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha$  iff  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha = B_\alpha$ .*

**Proof**

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Follows immediately from A5, R1', and R2'.

( $\Leftarrow$ ):  $\Gamma \vdash (A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha) \simeq (A_\alpha = B_\alpha)$  by the first two hypotheses, A5, and R1'.  $\vdash (A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha) \simeq (A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha)$  by A6 and Lemma 1. We obtain  $\Gamma \vdash (A_\alpha = B_\alpha) \simeq (A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha)$  by applying R2' to these two statements. The

conclusion of the lemma then follows by applying  $R2'$  to this statement and  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha = B_\alpha$ .  $\square$

As a result of A6 and Lemma 2, a quasi-equality  $A_* \simeq B_*$  and an equality  $A_* = B_*$  are completely interchangeable in  $\mathbf{A}_u$ .

**Theorem 4 (Universal Instantiation)** *If  $\Gamma \vdash \forall x : \alpha . B_*$  and  $\Gamma \vdash A_\alpha$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash B_*[(x : \alpha) \mapsto A_\alpha]$ , provided  $A_\alpha$  is free for  $(x : \alpha)$  in  $B_*$ .*

**Proof**  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \alpha . B_* = \lambda x : \alpha . \top$  by the first hypothesis, the definition of  $\forall$ , A9, and the Beta-Reduction Rule.  $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \alpha . B_*)(A_\alpha) \simeq B_*[(x : \alpha) \mapsto A_\alpha]$  by the second hypothesis, A4, and  $R1'$ . We obtain  $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \alpha . \top)(A_\alpha) \simeq B_*[(x : \alpha) \mapsto A_\alpha]$  from these two statements by Lemma 2 and  $R2'$ . Then  $\Gamma \vdash \top \simeq B_*[(x : \alpha) \mapsto A_\alpha]$  by the second hypothesis and the Beta-Reduction Rule. The conclusion of the theorem is obtained by applying  $R2'$  to this statement and the conclusion of Corollary 1.  $\square$

Universal Instantiation is needed to instantiate axiom schemas A1–3.

**Theorem 5 (Tautology Theorem)** *If  $A$  is a tautological consequence of  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  and  $\Gamma \vdash B_1, \dots, \Gamma \vdash B_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .*

**Proof** Lemma 2 and Universal Instantiation enable the theorem to be proved by an argument very similar to the proof of Theorem 5234 in [2].  $\square$

**Proposition 1**  $\vdash (A_\alpha = B_\alpha) \Rightarrow (A_\alpha \simeq B_\alpha)$ .

**Proof** Follows from the definition of  $\simeq$  and the Tautology Theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 6 (Deduction Theorem)** *If  $\Gamma \cup \{A\} \vdash B$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash A \Rightarrow B$ .*

**Proof** Similar to the proof of Theorem 5240 in [2].  $\square$

## 5 Soundness and Completeness

Let  $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$  be a set of formulas of  $L$ .  $\Gamma$  is *consistent* if there is no proof of  $\perp$  from  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 7 (Soundness Theorem)** *If  $\Gamma \vdash A$ , then  $M \models A$  for every general model  $M$  for  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof** Each instance of each axiom schema of  $\mathbf{A}_u$  is valid in the general sense, and R1 and R2 preserve validity in every general model for  $L$ . The theorem then follows from the Deduction Theorem. See the proof of Theorem 5402 in [2] for details.  $\square$

**Theorem 8 (Consistency Theorem)** *If  $\Gamma$  has a general model, then  $\Gamma$  is consistent.*

**Proof** Let  $M$  be a general model for  $\Gamma$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  is inconsistent, i.e., that  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{F}$ . Then, by the Soundness Theorem,  $M \models \mathbf{F}$ , and so  $V_\varphi^M(\mathbf{F}) = \top$  (for any variable assignment  $\varphi$ ), which contradicts the definition of a general model.  $\square$

**Theorem 9 (Henkin's Theorem for STTWU)** *If  $\Gamma$  is a consistent set of sentences of  $L$ , then  $\Gamma$  has a general model.*

**Proof** Similar to the proof of Theorem 7.2 in [3]. The proof requires the axiom schemas A6–16 that concern definedness.  $\square$

**Theorem 10 (Henkin's Completeness Theorem for STTWU)** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a set of sentences of  $L$ . If  $M \models A$  for every general model  $M$  for  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .*

**Proof** Assume  $M \models A$  for every general model  $M$  for  $\Gamma$ , and let  $B$  be the universal closure of  $A$ . Then  $M \models B$  for every general model  $M$  for  $\Gamma$ . Suppose  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg B\}$  is consistent. Then, by Henkin's Theorem for STTWU, there is a general model  $M_0$  for  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg B\}$ , and so  $M_0 \models \neg B$ . Since  $M_0$  is also a general model for  $\Gamma$ ,  $M_0 \models B$ . From this contradiction it follows that  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg B\}$  is inconsistent. Hence  $\Gamma \vdash B$  by the Deduction Theorem and the Tautology Theorem. Therefore,  $\Gamma \vdash A$  by Universal Instantiation and A7.  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. B. Andrews. A reduction of the axioms for the theory of propositional types. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 52:345–350, 1963.
- [2] P. B. Andrews. *An Introduction to Mathematical Logic and Type Theory: To Truth through Proof, Second Edition*. Kluwer, 2002.
- [3] W. M. Farmer. A partial functions version of Church's simple theory of types. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 55:1269–91, 1990.

- [4] W. M. Farmer. A simple type theory with partial functions and subtypes. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 64:211–240, 1993.
- [5] W. M. Farmer. The seven virtues of simple type theory. SQRL Report No. 18, McMaster University, 2003.
- [6] W. M. Farmer. Formalizing undefinedness arising in calculus. In D. Basin and M. Rusinowitch, editors, *Automated Reasoning—IJCAR 2004*, volume 3097 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 475–489. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [7] L. Henkin. Completeness in the theory of types. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 15:81–91, 1950.